Re: Questions for Upper Animas data

Sabrina Forrest to: Barry Hayhurst

06/15/2011 03:24 PM

From: Sabrina Forrest/R8/USEPA/US

To: Barry Hayhurst@URSCorp.com

Cc: Megan Adamczyk@URSCorp.com, chuck baker@urscorp.com, Kent Alexander@urscorp.com, Joni

Sandoval/R8/USEPA/US@EPA

Barry, I thought there were validation reports with what I received under separate cover for the draft ARR; however, I sent everything back for the ARR revision. I believe ESAT knows to submit CLP-like data packages so that we have the backup information necessary for HRS packages.

I need to send CSC electronic copies of all raw data and the validation reports just as soon as possible to help identify any major issues we may have. They would be better suited to answer your questions below, but I will forward the message below to Katharine Lima for her initial thoughts.

Sincerely,

Sabrina Forrest
NPL Coordinator &
Site Assessment Manager
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1595 Wynkoop Street, Mail Code: 8EPR-B
Denver, CO 80202-1129

Direct Ph: 303-312-6484

Toll Free: 1800-227-8917, 312-6484

Fax: 303-312-6065

Agency Cell: 303-589-1286

E-mail: forrest.sabrina@epa.gov

NOTICE: The information contained in this e-mail is intended only for the use of the recipient(s) named above. This message and any attachments may contain confidential or privileged information. If the reader is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you have received this document in error and any review, dissemination, disclosure, distribution, use, or copying of the contents of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify me immediately by e-mail or telephone and destroy all copies of the original message and any attachments.

Barry_Hayhurst---06/15/2011 01:47:37 PM---Delving into the data for the Upper Animas project I have determined that:

The sediment data

From: Barry_Hayhurst@URSCorp.com
To: Sabrina Forrest/R8/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 06/15/2011 01:47 PM

Subject: Questions for Upper Animas data

Delving into the data for the Upper Animas project I have determined that:

The sediment data was done by CLP and validated normally (i.e. a hard copy validation report and standard Form Is-----all good for references for the HRS package---no problems.

The **surface water** samples were done by ESAT (EPA Region 8 lab). Some analytes within an individual sample were done by Method 200.7 (Atomic Adsorption) and other analytes within the sample were done by Method 200.8 (Mass Spec).. The atomic adsorption analytes were not diluted, however many of the mass spec analyses were diluted 5X..

Question 1. Does this use of two methods and resulting two different dilutions on the same sample require any special handling and reporting to be included in the HRS document record?

The surface water data was validated electronically. All I have is a database with qualifiers. There does not appear to be a written validation report. The database does not distinguish between laboratory qualifiers and validator's qualifiers. The qualifiers are just in the database.

Question 2. Will this level of detail be sufficient for the HRS document record?

Also, I've noticed that Megan did not include a copy of her logbooks with the draft ARR. We will want that later.

This e-mail and any attachments contain URS Corporation confidential information that may be proprietary or privileged. If you receive this message in error or are not the intended recipient, you should not retain, distribute, disclose or use any of this information and you should destroy the e-mail and any attachments or copies.